
Species Notes for Mountain Yellow-legged Frog (Rana muscosa):

California Wildlife Habitat Relationships (CWHR) System Level II Model Prototype



William F. Laudenslayer, Jr. (author)¹ and Monica D. Parisi (editor)²

California Department of Fish and Game California Interagency Wildlife Task Group

October, 2007

¹ United States Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station (ret.)

² California Department of Fish and Game, Biogeographic Data Branch

PREFACE

This document is part of the California Wildlife Habitat Relationships (CWHR) System, operated and maintained by the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) in cooperation with the California Interagency Wildlife Task Group (CIWTG). The information will be useful for environmental assessments and wildlife habitat management. For more information on the CWHR System and all of its components, please see http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cwhr/.

Notes such as these were prepared for 32 species by the US Forest Service Pacific Southwest Research Station as part of a 2000/2001 contract with CDFG. Each is part of a prototypical "Level II" model for a species. As compared with the "Level I" or matrix models initially available in the CWHR System, "Level II" models incorporate spatial issues such as size of a habitat patch and distance between suitable habitat patches.

The notes are divided into three major sections. First, "Distribution, Seasonality and Habitats" represents information in the existing Geographic Information System (GIS) range data and in the Level I matrix model for a species. There is a vector-based GIS layer of geographic range and seasonality for each species in CWHR as well as a matrix containing all suitability ratings – High (H), Medium (M), Low (L) or Unsuitable (-) – by habitat (e.g. BOW or Blue Oak Woodland), stage (e.g. 4P or small tree, open canopy) and life requisite (reproduction, cover, or feeding.). Tools such as "Bioview" within the CWHR software will return these suitability ratings for a species to a user-supplied data set containing habitats and either stages (e.g. 4P) or stage values (e.g. trees of 16.0 average diameter at breast height in a stand of 30% canopy closure).

Second, "Required Attributes of Suitable Habitat Patches" represents spatially-explicit requirements of a species. The information here builds upon what is known about habitat patch size and the most critical attributes of a habitat patch needed by an individual of the species. Applications such as "GRABS", which stands for "Grouping Resources Algorithm for Biological Data Sets", will "clump" pixels of a user-supplied raster-based GIS data set representing patches of a suitable habitat and stage for a species. It will calculate area, perimeter, and complexity within each patch and analyze its outside edge for juxtaposition with other habitats and stages of interest. Many of the attributes are what were once called "elements" in the CWHR model.

Third, "Spatial Habitat Requirements for Persistence of Population" represents estimates of the amount of habitat needed to maintain a population of a species. This may be considered the starting point for a "Level III" CWHR model, which would take into account spatial issues as well as a number of population parameters not yet incorporated into CWHR. Such information is included for most, but not all, Level II-modeled species.

A044 Mountain Yellow-legged Frog Rana muscosa

Distribution, Seasonality and Habitats

Model Parameter	Threshold Value(s) for Species
Biogeographic Range and Seasonality range of the species, by season, in the state	This is a high mountain species, with populations in the Sierra Nevada, San Gabriel, San Bernardino and San Jacinto mountains. It over winters in the bottoms of ice-covered streams and ponds. In dry periods, the species may enter terrestrial rodent burrows that are near water.
habitats rated in the California Wildlife Habitat Relationships (CWHR) System as high (H), medium (M), or low (L)	Species finds suitability (H>L) for reproduction, cover and/or feeding in some or all stages of: Aspen, Fresh Emergent Wetland, Jeffrey Pine, Lacustrine, Lodgepole Pine, Montane Hardwood Conifer, Montane Hardwood, Montane Riparian, Ponderosa Pine, Red Fir, Riverine, Subalpine Conifer, Sierran Mixed Conifer, White Fir, and Wet Meadow.
Water whether water is required, enhances, or is irrelevant for habitat suitability	Water is required for suitability. All life activities take place in or very near water. Value of water varies with predictability. Species uses ponds, lakes, permanent streams, vernal pools, intermittent streams or rivers for all life requisites.

Required Attributes of Suitable Habitat Patches

Model Parameter	Threshold Value(s) for Species
Patch Size	0.5 acre (L)
L = low suitability. This is	10 acres (H)
the minimum patch size for	
persistence of an individual.	
H = high suitability. Above this patch size, area alone does not increase habitat suitability for an individual.	

requirements for a transition	A grass/water edge or riparian inclusion is essential for cover and feeding. Species will also use shrub/water edges and tree/water edges.
Structural Habitat Attributes requirements for live vegetation, dead or decadent vegetation, vegetation residues, physical features, or human-made features	A riparian inclusion or grass/water edge is essential. Emergent aquatic vegetation, burrows, and lithic are preferred attributes.
Food vegetative or animal diet requirements	Algae and invertebrates, especially terrestrial and aquatic insects, are essential.

Spatial Habitat Requirements for Persistence of Population

Lowest suitability = 50 acres, if suitable patches cover at least 75% of area, are of a minimum size (see above), and are a maximum of 10 meters apart

Highest suitability = greater than 300 acres, if suitable patches cover at least 75% of area, are of a minimum size (see above), and are less than 1 meter apart